

Program Evaluation at the Department of State



Key Drivers for Evaluation Policy

- Secretary Clinton's focus:
 - "We need to emphasize results, and not merely aspirations"
- Provide inputs for policy and planning decisions
- Inform decision-making and performance accountability through program analysis and evaluation





Key Drivers for Evaluation Policy

- GPRA Modernization Act expands use of evaluation, making it more prominent and important
- Document program impact, identify best practices, and help assess return on investment
- Assure performance and accountability for the American people

One Hundred Eleventh Congress of the United States of America

AT THE SECOND SESSION

Begun and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday, the fifth day of January, two thousand and ten

An Act





- Start with evaluating programs/projects with major programmatic or budgetary priorities
- Long term goal to evaluate all programs (including grant and contract-funded programs/projects) at least once in their lifetime
- Each bureau develops annual Evaluation Plan
- Evaluation discussed in Bureau Strategic and Resource
 Plan (BSRP) Assistant Secretary Statement



- Inventory of evaluations now to be collected with Annual Performance Plan (APP) data call – evaluation linked to performance
- Program managers need to identify resources for evaluation as part of contract and grant planning
- Policy does not alter existing/routine monitoring and performance procedures for grants and contracts



- Building Capacity:
 - Intra-agency portal with guidelines, templates and tools to facilitate planning and management of evaluations



- Monthly Evaluation Discussion Series
- Annual Evaluation Conference
- Develop Indefinite Quantity Contract (IQC):
 GSA's MOBIS (Mission Oriented Business Integrated Services)
 multiple award schedule



- Building Capacity:
 - Establish State cadre of experts
 (core group from bureaus with extensive evaluation experience) to assist others conducting program evaluations
 - Provide technical expertise, e.g.
 - Research questions
 - Statements of Work
 - Methodologies



Congo

India

Sudan

Haiti



Building Capacity:





- Partner with USAID
 - Course for program managers
 - Course for specialists



 Work with FSI to develop and expand evaluation training



Moving Forward/Lessons Learned

- Engage with senior officials early and regularly
- Consult with evaluators and program managers to
 - define goals for development
 - implement evaluation guidelines
- Promote and facilitate training and development of staff to effectively plan and manage evaluations



Moving Forward/Lessons Learned

- Provide "how to" examples of evaluation information informing policy decisions, changes in program design or shifts in program implementation
- Carve out evaluation resources as an integral part of program design and planning



Outcome of Process

- Improve decisions about programs that
 - function well
 - need to be changed
 - need to be dropped
- Change organizational culture toward greater program accountability







